Effect of Different Calcination Temperatures and Carbon Coating on the Characteristics of LiFePO$_4$ Prepared by Hydrothermal Route
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Abstract—The characteristics of lithium iron phosphate (LiFePO$_4$) prepared via hydrothermal route and calcined at various temperatures have been examined. Calcinations were performed at temperature variations of 500, 600, and 750°C for 5 hours. The properties were characterized through thermal decomposition, structure, morphological and electrical properties. Flake-shaped pure LiFePO$_4$ and LiFePO$_4$/C was successfully synthesized with the addition of 5 wt.% carbon black. The results showed that the addition of carbon effectively protected the material from oxidation and grain growth. The optimum calcination temperature was obtained at 750°C with flake diameter of 80 nm and average length of 427 nm. The measured conductivity of the carbon coated LiFePO$_4$ ($2.23 \times 10^{-4}$ S/cm) was much higher than that of the as-synthesized LiFePO$_4$ ($5 \times 10^{-7}$ S/cm). The battery performance was obtained with a stable voltage ranging from 3.3 to 3.4 volts.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Lithium ferro phosphate (LiFePO$_4$) has attracted many investigators since the reversibility of intercalation-deintercalation lithium ion in electrochemical process was observed [1], primarily as a promising candidate for lithium ion battery cathode. Many advantages of this material have been reviewed such as low production cost, environmentally friendly and high capacity and stability cycle [2].

Despite its many advantageous, however, LiFePO$_4$ also has a drawback in that its electronic conductivity is low, measured only $10^{-9}$ S/cm [3]. This low electronic conductivity could lead to a low rate capability. Because of that, several approaches have been proposed by many investigators to improve this conductivity, e.g. refining the grain to nanoscale [4], [5], metal doping [6], [7], carbon coating [2], and co-synthesis with carbon in powder metallurgy method [8].

The synthesis routes of LiFePO$_4$ are mainly divided into two categories. The first route is a solid-state reaction, which involves a combination of mechanical alloying and solid reaction at high temperature [9] – [11]. The second route is a wet chemical approach, which involves utilization of chemical reaction solution followed by crystallization. This approach includes sol-gel [12], [13], hydrothermal [14] – [18], and solvothermal [19], [20].

Solid state route has attracted many investigators due to the ease of the process; however, solid state synthesis needs high temperature for sintering process in addition to the impurity problems dominated by Li$_3$PO$_4$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$ [21]. In the electrochemical reaction during charge-discharge process, the material containing these impurities will degrade and reduce the capacity of the active material [22]. The alternative is to synthesize LiFePO$_4$ by using hydrothermal route, which involves wet chemical process at low temperature followed by purifying process at relatively high temperature. This route has some advantages such as simple process and relatively low crystallization temperature and thus energy consumption [23]. In addition, the impurities could also be controlled during the reaction process [24].

In this work, LiFePO$_4$ was prepared using the hydrothermal route. The characteristics of the material after calcination at various different temperatures are presented. Further, the effect of carbon coating on the LiFePO$_4$ performance in a lithium ion battery cathode is also examined and discussed.
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II. EXPERIMENTAL

A. Synthesis of LiFePO₄

The synthesis of LiFePO₄ was performed via hydrothermal route. The starting materials LiOH, FeSO₄·7H₂O and NH₄H₂PO₄ were purchased from Merck and were used as precursors with no further treatment. The precursors were weighed in an analytical balance with a molar ratio of Li : Fe : P = 2 : 1 : 1. Lithium hydroxide was dissolved to form 0.05 mole aqueous solution and was mixed with 0.025 mole of NH₄H₂PO₄ under magnetic stirrer agitation to form a white suspension. A solution of 0.025 mole FeSO₄·7H₂O was added drop wisely into the suspension and stirred for 45 minutes to form a bluish green suspension. This green suspension was quickly transferred into 100 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and was heated at 180°C for 20 hours. The resultant light bluish green precipitation was collected and washed by distilled water for several times and lastly by using deionized water. The precipitates were then dried at 80°C in an oven for 4 hours before further treatment and characterization.

B. Preparation of Carbon Coated LiFePO₄

The as-synthesized LiFePO₄ powder from previous step was mixed with 5 wt.% carbon black (CB) and the mixture was ball milled until homogenized and labelled as LiFePO₄/C. Some of the mixture were analysed using thermal analyser (Shimadzu DTG-60 Simultaneous Measuring Instrument) for thermal decomposition behaviour. The remain LiFePO₄/C was subsequently calcined at various temperatures 500, 600 and 750 °C for 5 hours under nitrogen atmosphere. For comparison, the as-synthesized LiFePO₄ was also prepared by the same procedure but with no carbon addition and labelled as LiFePO₄. X-ray diffraction (XRD, Pan-Analytical) measurements were conducted using Cu-Kα at 20 10-70° and the diffractograms were analysed using JCPDS database as a reference. Scanning electron microscope (SEM, FEI Inspect F50) was used to observe particle morphology and size distribution.

C. Battery Preparation

The carbon coated LiFePO₄ from the previous step was used for conductivity measurement and was performed using an electrical impedance spectroscopy (EIS, Hioki LCR 3532-50) from 1 gram of the active material prepared in cylindrical pellet with 0.9 cm diameter pressed at 6 MPa. For the electrochemical test, 80 wt.% of the as-prepared LiFePO₄ was mixed with carbon black and poly-vinylidene fluoride (PVDF) with a ratio of LiFePO₄ : CB : PVDF = 80 : 10 : 10 in N-methyl pyrrolidone (NMP) solvent inside a vacuum mixer. The mixture was applied onto an aluminium sheet as a current collector (MTI) and dried. After drying, the sample was hot-rolled and heated in a vacuum oven at 80°C. The battery was prepared in the forms of a coin using an Li metal anode for half-cell and LiPF₆ was used as an electrolyte. The cell was then tested through charge/discharge performance using an MTI battery analyser.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

At dissolving process during the initial stage, the following reaction may take place,

\[ 6 \text{LiOH}(l) + 3 \text{NH}_4\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4(l) + 3\text{FeSO}_4\cdot7\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightarrow \]

\[ \text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4(s) + \text{Fe}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2(s) + 13\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) + 3\text{Li}^+ + 3\text{NH}_2\text{OH}(l) + 3\text{HSO}_4^- + \text{3H}^+ \]  

(1)

Visual appearance of the resultant reaction is bluish green suspension as an indication of Li₃PO₄ and Fe₃(PO₄)₂. These compounds are metastable and will act as intermediate compounds of LiFePO₄. The equimolar mixture was ball milled until homogenized and labelled as LiFePO₄/C. Some of the mixture were analysed using thermal analyser (Shimadzu DTG-60 Simultaneous Measuring Instrument) for thermal decomposition behaviour. The remain LiFePO₄/C was subsequently calcined at various temperatures 500, 600 and 750 °C for 5 hours under nitrogen atmosphere. For comparison, the as-synthesized LiFePO₄ was also prepared by the same procedure but with no carbon addition and labelled as LiFePO₄. X-ray diffraction (XRD, Pan-Analytical) measurements were conducted using Cu-Kα at 20 10-70° and the diffractograms were analysed using JCPDS database as a reference. Scanning electron microscope (SEM, FEI Inspect F50) was used to observe particle morphology and size distribution.
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around 3.86% with three exothermic reactions at 348°C, 540°C and 605°C. This reaction is an oxide decomposition of amorphous LiFe\textsuperscript{II}PO\textsubscript{4} into Li\textsubscript{3}Fe\textsubscript{2}(PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3} and Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} \cite{9} according to the following reaction:

\[
\text{LiFe}^{\text{II}}\text{PO}_4 \text{(amorphous)} + \frac{1}{4} \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{3} \text{LiFe}^{\text{III}}(\text{PO}_4)_3 + \frac{1}{6} \text{Fe}_2^{\text{IV}}\text{O}_3
\] (3)

The next stage is a constant plane with slight weight reduction in order to continues oxidation of LiFePO\textsubscript{4}. This result is in agreement with that obtain by others in which the olivine LiFePO\textsubscript{4} will oxidized into Li\textsubscript{3}Fe\textsubscript{2}(PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3} and Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} at temperature range of 250-550°C \cite{9}, \cite{14}, \cite{16} without any other substances that protect LiFePO\textsubscript{4} even when 30 mL/min N\textsubscript{2} is still flowing.

Visual observation of LiFePO\textsubscript{4}/C after thermal characterization shows that most all of the samples were black with a little red on the surface as can be seen in Fig. 1b. The red part could be Li\textsubscript{3}Fe\textsubscript{2}(PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3} and Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, which occurred as a result of oxidation, whereas the black part would be crystalline LiFePO\textsubscript{4}. Mass change of the LiFePO\textsubscript{4}/C curve can also be divided into 3 stages. In the first part, at temperature of 60-300°C, there is a slight decrease in weight due to water evaporation. The second stage occur within the range of 300-510°C. In this stage, the weight increases 1.93%, and two reactions take place. The first reaction is oxidation of LiFePO\textsubscript{4} into Li\textsubscript{3}Fe\textsubscript{2}(PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3} + Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and the second is decomposition of amorphous LiFePO\textsubscript{4} into crystalline LiFePO\textsubscript{4} according to the following reaction:

\[
\text{C-LiFe}^{\text{II}}\text{PO}_4 \text{(amorphous)} + \frac{1}{4} \text{O}_2 + n\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \\
\text{C}_{n-1}-\text{LiFe}^{\text{II}}\text{PO}_4 \text{(crystalline)} + \frac{1}{3} \text{LiFe}^{\text{III}}(\text{PO}_4)_3 + \frac{1}{6} \text{Fe}_2^{\text{IV}}\text{O}_3 + n\text{CO}_2
\] (4)

The third stage is at 510-750°C, where the weight decreases by 4.8% due to carbon oxidation into CO\textsubscript{2}, leaving the LiFePO\textsubscript{4} coupled with the excess carbons. The carbon content of LiFePO\textsubscript{4}/C was calculated in which the remaining carbon is equal to total carbon added minus the burned carbon \cite{9}. In this case, the carbons remained active in the material are 5%-4.8% = 0.2%. From this decomposition behavior, it is clear that the carbon role in the calcination process would be mainly as an oxidation protection of LiFePO\textsubscript{4}. From the thermal behavior, it can be seen that the formation temperature of LiFePO\textsubscript{4} crystal completes at 610°C, followed by
oxidation of carbon that completes at 750°C. This LiFePO₄ formation temperature is slightly different from that obtained by Frangers [26] at 550 °C for 12 hours with the addition of carbon less than 5 wt.%, while Belharouak [9] obtained at 450 °C. This difference is expected to be due to the precursors used and the synthesis routes [27].

X-ray diffraction patterns of LiFePO₄/C at various temperature and LiFePO₄ at 750 °C are shown in Fig. 2. The entire diffraction peaks of LiFePO₄/C at various calcination temperatures are in agreement with that of LiFePO₄ standard indexed to the orthorhombic Pnma space group (JCPDS No.083-2092). Strong and sharp diffraction peaks indicate that LiFePO₄/C sample is highly crystalline. For the sample with no carbon, the peaks shift slightly and are in agreement with that of LiFePO₄ standard indexed to the orthorhombic Pnmb space group (JCPDS No.019-0721). The peak difference between LiFePO₄ and LiFePO₄/C is expected to be due to the presence of carbon. There are no other phases nor other impurities are detected in the XRD diffractograms.

Fig. 2. X-ray diffraction patterns of LiFePO₄/C at various calcination temperatures and LiFePO₄ at 750 °C.

The variations of unit cell volume (V) and lattice parameters at various calcination temperatures were calculated and the results are summarized in Table 1 and Table 2 for LiFePO₄/C and LiFePO₄, respectively. At calcination temperature 500°C, the lattice parameters are in agreement with that reported by other [28] with JCPDS No. 083-2092; however, when the calcination temperature increased to 600 and 700°C, lattice parameters decreased. This could be explained by the facts that at high calcination temperature, more atom carbons will be oxidized forming CO₂ and thus more room for LiFePO₄ crystal to get organized and hence the volume gets smaller. The lattice parameters of LiFePO₄ are in agreement with that of JCPDS No. 019-0721.

To understand the effect of carbon addition on the morphology of the LiFePO₄/C, secondary electron images using SEM were taken for LiFePO₄ and LiFePO₄/C from the same calcination temperature of 750°C and the results are shown in Fig. 3. As seen in the figure, LiFePO₄ has large grains of up to 10 µm whereas LiFePO₄/C has smaller grains (427 nm in average). The large grains size in LiFePO₄ is expected to be caused by the grain
growth during calcination. During calcination process, the heat will cause the small grains to merge with the large one by grain boundaries diffusion. The small grain in LiFePO₄/C, on the other hand, is expected to be the result of mechanical grinding process, which breaks the precursor grain during the mixing of carbon black and LiFePO₄. The carbons then cover the LiFePO₄ particles and protect them from grain growth during the calcination process.

Morphology of LiFePO₄/C at different calcination temperatures is shown in Fig. 4. At temperature of 500°C, LiFePO₄/C formed in two shapes i.e. flakes and spheres as shown in Fig 4(a). Flake shaped LiFePO₄/C is basically the original shape of LiFePO₄ coated by carbon, whereas the sphere one is initiated by the carbon excess. EDX analysis of the flake shape showed that the composition of O = 35.88; P = 23.41 and Fe = 40.71 wt.%, which represents LiFePO₄ and sphere composition is dominated by C = 53.72 with the remaining are O = 30.63; P = 05.08; and Fe = 10.57 wt.%. Referring to the LiFePO₄/C TGA result shown previously, it can be inferred that at 500°C, LiFePO₄ formation has finished but still contain most of the added carbon. When calcination temperature is increased from 500 to 600°C, globular shape of carbon disappears because most of the carbons have turned into CO₂ whereas the other carbons agglomerate in the forms of small particles. Furthermore, flake shaped of LiFePO₄/C is now dominating the grains. At the calcination temperature 750°C, only flake shaped LiFePO₄ remains coated by carbons on the surface.

Image analysis has been performed in order to calculate the particle size distribution, and the result is shown in Table 3. Within temperature increment from 500 to 600°C, grain growth occurs in LiFePO₄/C flake and make the size of the flake to become large although it is not as large as pure LiFePO₄. This can be understood since the grain growth mechanism will occur when two grain boundaries in same phase but different size get into contact each other. In this case, carbon will act as a barrier that keeps LiFePO₄ grain boundary from being contacted. At temperature 750°C, the grain size is supposed to be larger than that of lower temperature. In this case, however, it turns to be small. This is expected because of the effect of mechanical mixing before calcination, and thus the carbon sphere disappears in this temperature. This confirm the result of DTA-TGA curve where the carbon oxidation has completed at 750°C. Hydrothermal synthesis followed by calcination process without additional carbon is indeed susceptible to grain growth. This confirms that the carbons act as inhibiting additive for the grain growth [15].
TABLE 3. Averaged particle size of LiFePO4/C and LiFePO4 after calcination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Flake width (nm)</th>
<th>Flake length (nm)</th>
<th>Carbon Sphere (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LiFePO4/C-500</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFePO4/C-600</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>114 (agglomerated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFePO4/C-750</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFePO4-750</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>10536</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effect of carbon addition and calcination temperature on the electrical conductivity of crystalline LiFePO4 was examined from pressed LiFePO4/C and LiFePO4 sample pellets using EIS. The conductivity test result is shown in Table 4. Pure LiFePO4 crystal is having insulator property, and thus the test result of LiFePO4 shows only a conductivity in the order of $10^{-7}$ S/cm. This result is in agreement with other results [3], [12]. With the addition of carbon, the conductivity increases. In this instance, carbon will act as a conductive agent, and hence, more carbon will increase the conductivity. Conductivity, however, reduces at increasing calcination temperature as shown in Table 4. As has been mentioned previously, at high calcination temperature, more atom carbon will oxidize to form CO2 and thus less carbon will be available to cover the LiFePO4.

TABLE 4 Electrical conductivity test result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Conductivity (S/cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LiFePO4/C-500</td>
<td>1.072x10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFePO4/C-600</td>
<td>7.063x10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFePO4/C-750</td>
<td>2.231x10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFePO4-750</td>
<td>5.009x10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The conductivity of active material is also related to the particle size, as shown in Fig.5. With the assumption that the flake size is proportional to the grain size, whereas the electron will take the shortest path to travel, the electrical conductivity will be lower at larger particle size. This can be understood since the larger the particle sizes the greater the distance and thus the lower the conductivity.

![Fig. 5. Relationship between particle size and electrical conductivity in LiFePO4/C](image)

In order to determine the capacity and performance of LiFePO4 cathode, charge–discharge cycle tests were performed on LiFePO4/C at various rates. Result from LiFePO4/C sample showed that the stable active material is the sample with carbon addition and calcined at 750°C. The charge–discharge voltage curves for the LiFePO4/C-750 in the voltage range of 2.5–4.0 volts and current density of 0.1–0.5C are shown in Fig. 6. A flat profile over 3.3–3.5 volts potential range indicates that the extraction and the insertion reaction of the lithium ions proceeded by the motion of a two-phase interface between FePO4 and LiFePO4. The specific capacity from the testing that yet to be improved is at 11.66 mAh/g for 0.1C. This low value could be due to hygroscopic properties of carbon, which allows it to get very high absorption rate of water vapor. The water content and
other contaminants could reduce the capacity and damage the electrolyte solution and the cathode [17], [22]. Nonetheless, despite the low capacity, the trend obtained in this work is promising for the next development.

Fig. 6. Charge/discharge test results in the potential range of 2.5–4.0 volts at room temperature for the LiFePO4/C calcined at 750 °C.

IV. CONCLUSION

Pure LiFePO4 and carbon coated LiFePO4 has been synthesized using hydrothermal route. Thermal decomposition behaviour showed that LiFePO4 formation was completed at 510°C followed by carbon oxidation until 750°C. The addition of carbon effectively protected the material from oxidation and grain growth during calcination process. High calcination temperature resulted in large grain particles and reduction of carbon content. The X-ray diffraction diffractograms exhibited well crystallized peaks corresponding to an orthorhombic olivine type structure with Pnma space group. SEM analysis showed that the synthesized powder consists of flake-like shaped grains in the size range of 400–650 nm. The charge-discharge measurements performed at various rates exhibited a good cycling stability under working voltage at 3.3–3.5 volts.
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