Abstract—This paper presents three stages of methodology. The first stage is to identify the switching operation for radial network configuration while observe the power losses and the voltage profile without Distributed Generation (DG). The second stage is based on previous paper which is feeder reconfiguration for loss reduction with DGs. The last stage is sizing and allocation DGs at buses with low voltage profile resulted from the first stage to improve the power losses and voltage profile also comparing the result with the second stage. The objective of this method proposed is to show that allocation of DGs simultaneously based on low voltage profile can improve network power losses and improvement of voltage profile. The result shows that improvement on network power losses is 54.92% from Distribution Network Reconfiguration (DNR) method. All three stages were tested on standards IEEE 33 bus system by using Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) technique in MATLAB software. This method proved that improvement of power losses and voltage profile by switching and DGs allocation method.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Distribution Generation is a small scale technology use to provide source of active power. It is located near end user of customer or near to the load in the distribution network. Distribution resources is a demand and supply side resources that can be deployed along electric distribution system as set apart from the transmission system to encounter the energy requirement and reliability as needed by the customers. Distributed resources consist of two aspects. First is distributed generation and second are demand side resources. Distributed generation located at any bus along distribution system or on customer site. Demand side resources also known as load management system which is to move electricity from peak period to off peak periods to reduce the overall electricity demand. In other words, demand-side resources not only based on local generation within distribution system on customers side but also mean to reduce customer demand [1]. To give the maximum use of DG, it has to be installed with optimum sizing and suitable location. Fail to do so will make load node voltage along the feeder to increase [2].

Distribution network generally designed in closed loop and operated in open loop and it involves large quantity of section switches and small amount of tie-switches. IEEE 33-Bus radial system was illustrated as distribution network for this method. Distribution Network Reconfiguration (DNR) is the process of changing the open/close status of sectionalizing and tie switches in the distribution network. Two types of switches were used in distribution system which is sectionalizing-switches and tie-switches. Sectionalizing-switches are a type of switch that normally opens while tie-switch is vice versa. Objective of DNR is to reduce power losses and relieve overload in the network. In the proposed method, the present of DG with network reconfiguration to improved losses in the system and improve voltage profile. There are three problem-solving algorithms to solve this problem; a) the classical mathematical optimization algorithm. b) heuristic algorithms. c) artificial intelligence (AI) based algorithms such as simulated annealing (SA), tabu search (TA), family eugenics based evolution algorithm (FEBE), genetic algorithm (GA), immune algorithm (IA), and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO). These algorithms perform well to find the best optimal solution [3-6].

Particle swarm optimization introduced by James Kennedy (social-psychologist) and Russell Eberhart (electrical engineer) in 1995. It is based on social metaphor and population based optimization technique [7]. Particle swarm is the system model based on basic creatures that move in a group with the same objective for
example to search for food. The group with this relative behavior including bee swarm, fish school and bird flock. Some of advantages PSO technique over other optimization techniques is it can handle objective function with stochastic nature and does not require any good initial solution to start the iteration process. However PSO also got some disadvantages which is it needs more parameter for tuning also required programming skills to develop and modify the algorithm to suit different optimization problems [8-9].

There are many ways to do allocation and sizing methods. One of the ways that proposed by [10-14] is located the DG at all busses with using PSO method. Author [11] proposed the method with two DGs allocation at all bus and examine the total harmonic distortion percentage can be reduced with two DG installation. In the other hand, author [13] stated that the location of DG depend on load demand. So, the size of DG will be varying according to the load demand. Other than that, [15] proposed a combination of PSO and GA method in order to find optimal placement and sizing of DG. Genetic algorithm (GA) method were used to find the placement of DG because GA provides great flexibility and suited in solving complex optimization problems [16-17]. After that, the results from GA simulation used in PSO to find optimize the sizing for DG. DG allocation and sizing also can be done by using other algorithm method such as Immune Algorithm as in [18]. IA is a biometric intelligent calculation by imitating the behavior of biological immune system. The comparison of Evolutionary Programming (EP), Artificial Immune System (AIS) and Particle Swarm Optimization were carried out to find the best techniques for allocation and sizing of the DG. It is proved that PSO technique better than EP and AIS in terms of voltage stability and voltage profile minimization [19]. Optimum allocation and DG sizing can enhance efficiency in the distribution system. Analysis method by [20] is implemented in two IEEE distribution test system. First is three phase unbalanced component model and the second is IEEE 123 node test feeder. The analysis been made conclude that loss reduction and maintaining voltage limit can be possible by allocating and sized DG unit in optimum way to make distribution system more efficient.

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

The objective for DNR is to find radial network structure in order to minimize power losses. The problem can be formulated as follows [9].

\[
\text{Min } P_{\text{losses}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_i^2 R_i 
\]

Where;
\[I_i= \text{current in branch } i, \] \[R_i= \text{resistance of branch } i, \] \[N= \text{total number of branches}, \] \[k_i= \text{variable that represent the topology status of the branches (1= close, 0= open)}. \]

A. Radial Network Constraint

Radial network structure was composed in considering in the distribution network

B. Node Voltage Constraint

Voltage magnitude \( V_i \) at each node must be within its range to maintain power quality.

\[ V_{\text{min}} \leq V_i \leq V_{\text{max}} \]  

The minimum voltage is 0.95 and maximum voltage is 1.05 (±5%).

C. Generator Operation Constraint

All DGs units are allowed to operate within acceptable limit where \( P_{i_{\text{min}}} \) and \( P_{i_{\text{max}}} \) are the lower and upper bound of DG output.

\[ P_{i_{\text{min}}} \leq P_i \leq P_{i_{\text{max}}} \]  

D. Feeder Capability Limits

\[ I_k \leq I_k^{\text{max}}, k \in \{1,2,3\ldots l\} \]  

Where;
\[ I_k^{\text{max}} = \text{maximum current capability of branch } k. \]

III. PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION (PSO) ALGORITHM

This concept of algorithm is simple, easy to realize and strong optimization ability. It has been used widely for optimization function, neural network training and other engineering field [7]. The concept of PSO is its particle move towards each other to find \( p_{\text{best}} \) and \( g_{\text{best}} \). The best solution achieved by that particle in the solution space is known as \( p_{\text{best}} \) while \( g_{\text{best}} \) is value obtained by any particle in the neighborhood of that particle. Fig. 1 shows the random weighted acceleration time at each step. Based on flowchart in Fig. 2, each particle tries to relocate its position by using the information;
i. the current positions.
ii. the current velocities.
iii. the distance between the current position and pbest.
iv. the distance between the current position and gbest [8].

![Fig 1: Concept of modification of searching point by PSO [10]](image1)

![Fig 2: Flowchart of PSO algorithm [10]](image2)

The modification of the particle’s position can be modeled by using equations (5) and (6).

\[
\begin{align*}
  v_i^{k+1} &= \omega v_i^k + c_1 r_1 (pbest_i - s_i^k) + c_2 r_2 (g_{best} - s_i^k) \\
  s_i^{k+1} &= s_i^k + v_i^{k+1}
\end{align*}
\]  

(5) (6)

Where,
- \( c_1, c_2 \): The weighting factor,
- \( r_1, r_2 \): The random numbers between 0 and 1,
- \( \omega \): The weighting function,
- \( v_i^k \): The current velocity of particle \( i \) at iteration \( k \),
- \( v_i^{k+1} \): The modified velocity of particle \( i \).
$s_{i}^{k}$: The current position of particle $i$ at iteration $k$,
$s_{i}^{k+1}$: The modified position of particle $i$,
pbest$_{i}$: The personal best of particle $i$,
igbest: The global best of the group.

The weighting function is set according to equation (7).

$$\omega(t + 1) = \omega_{\text{max}} \times \frac{\omega_{\text{max}}}{t_{\text{max}}} - \omega_{\text{min}} \times t$$

(7)

Where;
$t_{\text{max}}$ = maximum number of iterations,
$t$ = current iteration number,
$\omega_{\text{max}}$ = maximum inertia weight,
$\omega_{\text{min}}$ = minimum inertia weight.

The procedure of PSO implementation is as follows:

Step 1: The input data including network configuration, line impedance and status of DGs and switches are to be read.

Step 2: Set up the set of parameters of PSO such as number of particles $N$, weighting factors and $C_1$ and $C_2$. The initial population is determined by selecting the tie switches and DG size randomly from the set of the original population. The variable for tie switches represented by $P_g$. The proposed particles can be written as:

$$X_{\text{particle}} = \{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_\beta, P_{g1}, P_{g2}, \ldots, P_{g\alpha}\}$$

(8)

Where $\beta$ is the number of tie line and $\alpha$ is the number of DG.

Step 3: Calculate the power loss using distribution load flow based on Newton-Raphson method.

Step 4: randomly generates an initial population (array) of particles with random positions and velocities on dimension in the solution space. Set the iteration counter $k=0$.

Step 5: For each particle, if the bus voltage is within the limits, calculate the total loss using distribution load flow. Otherwise, the particle is infeasible.

Step 6: Record and update the best value. The two best values are recorded in the searching process. Each particle keeps track of its coordinate in the solution space that is associated with the best solution it has reached so far. This value denoted as $P_{\text{best}}$. Another best value denoted as $G_{\text{best}}$, which is the overall best value obtained so far by any particle. $P_{\text{best}}$ and $G_{\text{best}}$ are the generation of switches, DG sizes and power loss. This step also updates $P_{\text{best}}$ and $G_{\text{best}}$. At first, the fitness of each particle compare with its $P_{\text{best}}$. If the current solution is better than its $P_{\text{best}}$, then replace $P_{\text{best}}$ by the current solution then the fitness of all particles is compared to $G_{\text{best}}$. If the fitness of any article is better than $G_{\text{best}}$, then replace $G_{\text{best}}$.

Step 7: Update the velocity and position of particles. Equation (5) is applied to update velocity of the particles. The velocity of a particle is representing as the movement of the switches. Meanwhile, equation (6) is to update the position of the particles.

Step 8: End conditions.
Check the end condition, if it is reached the algorithm stop or else repeat step 3-7 until the end condition is satisfied.

In this procedure, only the size of DG is determined while the location is fixed at low voltage profile based on case 1. The location is fixed as control measure to observe changing in DG sizing. DG location in real life also depends on the suitability of the area.
IV. Case Studies

The system used for this method is tested on standard IEEE 33 bus test system as shown in Fig. 3. The system load is assumed to be constant with $S_{\text{base}} = 100\text{ MVA}$ and $V_{\text{base}} = 12.66\text{kV}$. The load and line data is referred in [21]. The total load of the system is 3715kW and 2300kVar. The maximum sizing for DG is set to 5MW and the population for test system is 50. All calculation is in per unit. Three cases are considered for this:

Case 1: The system with DNR by switches with radial configuration.

Case 2: Feeder reconfiguration with allocation and sizing DG based on [9].

Case 3: DNR and DG sizing and allocation based on lowest voltage profile on case 1.

![Fig. 3. Initial configuration of 33 bus radial system](image)

V. Results and Discussions

The simulations were carried out by using MATLAB software and 20 results were collected. The result with minimum power loss is selected as shown in Table I. The result consists of 5 opened switches, total power losses, voltage profile and four DGs sizing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>Case 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power loss (kW)</td>
<td>219.1</td>
<td>206.3</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss reduction (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>54.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU time (s)</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switches</td>
<td>13 9 26 6 33</td>
<td>33 6 4 12 9</td>
<td>7 31 9 28 14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The result show that the power losses decrease from case 1 to case 3. Power loss for case 1 is 219.1 kW and reduces to 5.84% for case 2. More than half percent loss reduction occurs in case 3 where the power loss is 93 kW reduce by 54.92%. The different between the power loss between case 2 and case 3 is 113.3 kW. From the impact of power loss, it shows that the allocation of DGs based on voltage profile can improve power losses in the system. The computing time taken for case 3 is the longest which is 40.3 seconds compared to case 1 (24.4 seconds) and case 2 (17.3 seconds). Fig. 4 shows the network reconfiguration switches and DG allocation at bus 7, 16, 22 and 32.
DGs sizing for case 2 and case 3 is approximately the same because there is no big different between the size of the DGs for both cases. DGs allocation for case 2 is based on the stability of geographical of location methods [9]. Meanwhile for case 3 is based on observation of voltage profile from case 1. DGs are located at the lowest voltage profile from that case. The result is as shown in Table II and Table III. Allocation of DG based on voltage profile can improved power losses as discussed earlier.

Figure 4: Network reconfiguration with allocation of DG

Table II
DGs sizing for case 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of DGs</th>
<th>Location (bus no)</th>
<th>DGs size (MW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DG 1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.2329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG 2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.5795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG 3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.5391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG 4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.0315</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III
DGs sizing for case 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of DGs</th>
<th>Location (bus no)</th>
<th>DGs size (MW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DG 1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.2217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG 2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.6416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG 3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.5618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG 4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.0300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 5, Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 shows the voltage profile for case 1, case 2 and case 3. It shows that allocation DG based on voltage profile can improve the voltage profile for the system as in Fig. 7. The minimum voltage profile for case 1 and case 2 is 0.997 compared to minimum voltage profile for case 3 is 0.999. Voltage profile for case 3 is more stable because the range is only between 0.999 and 1 compared to case 1 and case 2.

In the other hand, allocation and sizing of DG also improves voltage profile because DG were located at bus with low voltage profile. This method has improved voltage profile slightly about 0.07% from case 1. For case 1, the minimum voltage profile is 0.997 occur at bus 7, 8, 9, 14, 15, 16 and 17. For case 2, the minimum voltage profile is 0.997 at bus 7, 8, 9, 13, 14, 15 and 33 meanwhile for case 3, the minimum voltage profile is 0.999.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The main objective of this paper is to reduce network power losses from DNR method with presence of simultaneous DGs on the network. This paper proved that allocation of DG based on low voltage profile also can improve power losses and the voltage profile itself. Improvement of power losses is 54.92% from DNR method. This method also can use other problem-solving method beside PSO such as REPSO, GA, AIS and many more.
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